

International Journal of Modern Physics A
© World Scientific Publishing Company

DPNU-05-17
September, 2005

Vector Manifestation and the Hidden Local Symmetry*

Masayasu Harada

Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan[†]

In this write-up, I summarize the key ingredients of the vector manifestation formulated in the hidden local symmetry theory, in which the ρ meson becomes massless degenerated with the pion at the chiral phase transition point.

Keywords: Chiral Symmetry Restoration, Vector Meson

1. Introduction

The vector manifestation (VM) was proposed^{1,2} as a novel manifestation of Wigner realization of chiral symmetry where the vector meson ρ becomes massless at the chiral phase transition point. Accordingly, the (longitudinal) ρ becomes the chiral partner of the Nambu-Goldstone boson π . The VM provides a strong support for Brown-Rho scaling³ which predicted that the mass of light-quark hadrons should drop in proportion to the quark condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$. Actually, the dilepton spectra observed in the KEK-PS E325⁴ and the CBELSA/TAPS⁵ as well as in the CERN/SPS⁶ can be explained by the dropping mass of ρ/ω based on the Brown-Rho scaling⁷.

In this write-up, I first briefly review the difference between the VM and the conventional manifestation of chiral symmetry restoration based on the linear sigma model in section 2. Then, I show how to formulate the VM in hot matter in section 3. Finally, in section 4, I give a brief summary.

2. Vector Manifestation of Chiral Symmetry

Let me discuss the difference between the VM and the conventional manifestation of chiral symmetry restoration based on the linear sigma model, the GL manifestation, in terms of the chiral representation of the low-lying mesons by extending the analyses done in Ref. 8 for two flavor QCD.

The VM is characterized by

$$(VM) \quad f_\pi^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad m_\rho^2 \rightarrow m_\pi^2 = 0, \quad f_\rho^2/f_\pi^2 \rightarrow 1, \quad (1)$$

*Talk given at “International Conference on QCD and Hadronic Physics” (June 16-20, 2005, Beijing, China).

[†]Electronic address : harada@hken.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp

2 Masayasu Harada

where f_ρ is the decay constant of (longitudinal) ρ at ρ on-shell. This is completely different from the GL manifestation where the scalar meson S becomes massless degenerate with π as the chiral partner

I first consider the representations of the following zero helicity ($\lambda = 0$) states under $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$; the π , the (longitudinal) ρ , the S and the (longitudinal) axial-vector meson denoted by A_1 . The π and the A_1 are admixture of $(8, 1) \oplus (1, 8)$ and $(3, 3^*) \oplus (3^*, 3)$ since the symmetry is spontaneously broken⁸:

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi\rangle &= |(3, 3^*) \oplus (3^*, 3)\rangle \sin \psi + |(8, 1) \oplus (1, 8)\rangle \cos \psi, \\ |A_1(\lambda = 0)\rangle &= |(3, 3^*) \oplus (3^*, 3)\rangle \cos \psi - |(8, 1) \oplus (1, 8)\rangle \sin \psi, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the experimental value of the mixing angle ψ is given by approximately $\psi = \pi/4$ ⁸. The ρ and the S , on the other hand, are expressed as

$$|\rho(\lambda = 0)\rangle = |(8, 1) \oplus (1, 8)\rangle, \quad |S\rangle = |(3, 3^*) \oplus (3^*, 3)\rangle. \quad (3)$$

When the chiral symmetry is restored at the phase transition point, it is natural to expect that the chiral representations coincide with the mass eigenstates: The representation mixing is dissolved. From Eq. (2) one can easily see that there are two ways to express the representations in the Wigner phase of the chiral symmetry: The conventional GL manifestation corresponds to the limit $\psi \rightarrow \pi/2$:

$$(GL) \quad \begin{cases} |\pi\rangle, |S\rangle \rightarrow |(3, 3^*) \oplus (3^*, 3)\rangle, \\ |\rho(\lambda = 0)\rangle, |A_1(\lambda = 0)\rangle \rightarrow |(8, 1) \oplus (1, 8)\rangle. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, the VM corresponds to the limit $\psi \rightarrow 0$ in which the A_1 goes to a pure $(3, 3^*) \oplus (3^*, 3)$, now degenerate with the scalar meson S in the same representation, but not with ρ in $(8, 1) \oplus (1, 8)$:

$$(VM) \quad \begin{cases} |\pi\rangle, |\rho(\lambda = 0)\rangle \rightarrow |(8, 1) \oplus (1, 8)\rangle, \\ |A_1(\lambda = 0)\rangle, |S\rangle \rightarrow |(3, 3^*) \oplus (3^*, 3)\rangle. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Namely, the degenerate massless π and (longitudinal) ρ at the phase transition point are the chiral partners in the representation of $(8, 1) \oplus (1, 8)$.

3. Formulation of the Vector Manifestation in Hot Matter

It should be noticed that the critical temperature of the chiral symmetry restoration is approached from the broken phase up to $T_c - \epsilon$, and that the following basic assumptions are adopted in the analysis: (1) The relevant degrees of freedom until near $T_c - \epsilon$ are only π and ρ ; (2) Other mesons such as A_1 and scalar mesons are still heavy at $T_c - \epsilon$; (3) Partial chiral symmetry restoration already occurs at $T_c - \epsilon$. Based on these assumptions, it was shown^{9,10,11} that the VM necessarily occurs at the chiral symmetry restoration point.

One of the most important key ingredients to formulate the VM in hot matter is the *intrinsic temperature dependences*⁹ of the parameters determined through the Wilsonian matching¹². The intrinsic temperature dependence introduced through

the Wilsonian matching is nothing but the signature that hadrons have internal structures constructed from the quarks and gluons. This is similar to the situation where coupling constants among hadrons are replaced with appropriate momentum-dependent form factors in high energy region. The intrinsic effects play more important roles in higher temperature region, especially near the critical temperature.

When T_c is approached from below, the axial-vector and vector current correlators derived in the OPE approach each other for any value of Q^2 . Thus we require that these current correlators in the HLS become close to each other near T_c for any value of Q^2 around Λ^2 . This requirement implies that the bare g and a satisfy

$$g_{\text{bare}}(T) \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow T_c} 0, \quad a_{\text{bare}}(T) \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow T_c} 1. \quad (6)$$

These conditions (“VM conditions in hot matter”) for the bare parameters are converted into the conditions for the on-shell parameters through the Wilsonian renormalization group equations (RGEs). Since $g = 0$ and $a = 1$ are separately the fixed points of the RGEs for g and a ¹³, $(g, a) = (0, 1)$ is satisfied at any energy scale. As a result, the quantum correction to the ρ mass as well as the hadronic thermal correction disappears at T_c since they are proportional to the gauge coupling g . The bare ρ mass, which is also proportional to g_{bare} , vanishes at T_c . These imply that the pole mass of the ρ meson also vanishes at T_c :

$$m_\rho^2(T) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } T \rightarrow T_c. \quad (7)$$

4. Summary

In this write-up, I first showed the difference between the VM and the conventional manifestation of chiral symmetry restoration based on the linear sigma model in terms of the chiral representation of the mesons in section 2. Then, in section 3, I reviewed how to formulate the VM in hot matter. I would like to note that the VM in dense matter can be formulated in a similar way¹⁴, where the *intrinsic density dependence* plays an important role.

There are several predictions of the VM in hot matter made so far: In Ref. 15, the vector and axial-vector susceptibilities were studied. It was shown that the equality between two susceptibilities are satisfied and that the VM predicts $\chi_A = \chi_V = \frac{2}{3} T_c^2$ for $N_f = 2$, which is in good agreement with the result obtained in the lattice simulation¹⁶. In Ref. 10, a prediction associated with the validity of vector dominance (VD) in hot matter was made: As a consequence of including the intrinsic effect, the VD is largely violated at the critical temperature. The violation of the VD near the critical temperature plays an important role to explain the NA60 dimuon data based on the Brown-Rho scaling¹⁷. In Ref. 18, the pion velocity v_π was studied including the effect of Lorentz symmetry breaking. The prediction for v_π is $v_\pi(T_c) = 0.83 - 0.99$, which is consistent with $v_\pi(T) = 0.65$ of Cramer *et al.*¹⁹ extracted from the recent STAR data²⁰. Furthermore, in Ref. 21, starting with an HLS Lagrangian at the VM fixed point that incorporates the heavy-quark symmetry and matching the bare theory to QCD, we calculated the splitting of

4 Masayasu Harada

chiral doublers of D mesons proposed in Refs. ²². The predicted splitting comes out to be $0.31 \pm 0.12 \text{ GeV}$, which is in good agreement with the experiment ²³.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Doctor Youngman Kim, Professor Mannque Rho, Doctor Chihiro Sasaki and Professor Koichi Yamawaki for collaboration in several works done for the vector manifestaion on which this talk is based. This work is supported in part by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (c) (2) 16540241, the Daiko Foundataion #9099, and the 21st Century COE Program of Nagoya University provided by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (15COEG01).

References

1. M. Harada and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 757 (2001).
2. M. Harada and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rept. **381**, 1 (2003).
3. G. E. Brown and M. Rho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2720 (1991); Phys. Rept. **396**, 1 (2004).
4. K. Ozawa *et al.* [E325 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5019 (2001);
M. Naruki *et al.*, arXiv:nucl-ex/0504016.
5. D. Trnka *et al.* [CBELSA/TAPS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 192303 (2005).
6. G. Agakishiev *et al.* [CERES Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1272 (1995).
7. See, e.g., G. Q. Li, C. M. Ko and G. E. Brown, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4007 (1995);
R. Rapp and J. Wambach, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **25**, 1 (2000).
8. F. J. Gilman and H. Harari, Phys. Rev. **165**, 1803 (1968);
S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2604 (1969).
9. M. Harada and C. Sasaki, Phys. Lett. B **537**, 280 (2002).
10. M. Harada and C. Sasaki, Nucl. Phys. A **736**, 300 (2004).
11. For a review of the VM in hot matter, see, e.g., C. Sasaki, Doctral thesis (Nagoya University) "Chiral phase transition in QCD and vector manifestation," [arXiv:hep-ph/0504073].
12. M. Harada and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 014023 (2001).
13. M. Harada and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3374 (1999).
14. M. Harada, Y. Kim and M. Rho, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 016003 (2002).
15. M. Harada, Y. Kim, M. Rho and C. Sasaki, Nucl. Phys. A **727**, 437 (2003).
16. C. R. Allton *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 074507 (2002).
17. G. E. Brown and M. Rho, arXiv:nucl-th/0509001; arXiv:nucl-th/0509002.
18. C. Sasaki, Nucl. Phys. A **739**, 151 (2004);
M. Harada, Y. Kim, M. Rho and C. Sasaki, Nucl. Phys. A **730**, 379 (2004).
19. J. G. Cramer, G. A. Miller, J. M. S. Wu and J. H. S. Yoon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 102302 (2005).
20. J. Adams *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 112301 (2004); Phys. Rev. C **71**, 044906 (2005).
21. M. Harada, M. Rho and C. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 074002 (2004).
22. M. A. Nowak, M. Rho and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 4370 (1993); Acta Phys. Polon. B **35**, 2377 (2004);
W. A. Bardeen and C. T. Hill, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 409 (1994).
23. B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 242001 (2003);
D. Besson *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **68**, 032002 (2003);
P. Krokovny *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262002 (2003).